IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SHANICQUA SUBER-APONTE, :

Plaintiff, :

:

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-CV-4866

:

MATTHEW COPLEY, et al,

Defendants. :

ORDER

AND NOW, this day of December, 2018, upon consideration of *pro se* Plaintiff Shanicqua Suber-Aponte's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 6), it is **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED** for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum. All claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 are **DISMISSED** with **prejudice**. Suber-Aponte's state law claims are **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction without **prejudice** to Suber-Aponte's right to reassert them in state court. Suber-Aponte may not file a second amended complaint in this matter.
 - 2. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Wendy Beetlestone, J.

WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.